Baukje Prins

## THE STANDPOINT IN QUESTION

# Situated knowledges and the Dutch minorities discourse

Een kwestie van standpunt. Gesitueerde kennis en het Nederlandse minderhedenvertoog

(met een samenvatting in het Nederlands)

### PROEFSCHRIFT

Ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit van Utrecht op gezag van de Rector Magnificus, prof.dr. H.O. Voorma, in gevolge het besluit van het College van Decanen in het openbaar te verdedigen op donderdag 4 september 1997 des ochtends te 10.30 uur

door

Baukje Prins geboren 19 juli 1956 te Drachten **Baukje Prins** 

#### The standpoint in question

#### Promotores: Prof. dr. R. Braidotti (Universiteit Utrecht, Faculteit der Letteren) Prof. dr. L.W. Nauta (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Faculteit der Wijsbegeerte)

#### Promotiecommissie:

Prof. D. Haraway (University of California, Santa Cruz)Prof. dr. H. Kunneman (Universiteit voor Humanistiek)Prof. dr. A. Mol (Universiteit Twente)Dr. G. Wekker (Universiteit Utrecht)Prof. dr. A. de Ruyter (Universiteit Utrecht)

Dit onderzoek werd mogelijk gemaakt door een subsidie van de Stichting Filosofie en Theologie van de Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO).

**Baukje Prins** 

Writing is a lonely and tedious business. Time and again, I had to overcome the fear for the empty screen. Without the support and interest of a number of friendly readers, who never seemed to doubt that my concoctions were going somewhere, this thesis would surely not have been completed.

Rosi Braidotti and Lolle Nauta proved to be an excellent team of supervisors. Their confidence in my project and their shared and ever returning insistence that I write in my own voice were invaluable to me. I already miss our joint meetings in either Utrecht or Groningen. I would especially like to thank Rosi for her energizing pep-talks in the beginning and her relentless deadlines towards the end, but also for her trust, and her quick and politically sharpened mind which made for a series of challenging and intense conversations. Lolle helped me along with his pointed and always useful comments in developing my own arguments more carefully. I thank him for his consistent support and friendship through the years.

Winter 1994, I had the opportunity to visit the History of Consciousness Program of the University of California at Santa Cruz. Participating in seminars with Donna Haraway, Dana Tagaki and Angela Davis was a great and instructive experience in many ways. I especially want to think Donna for het willingness to read my work, her stimulating conversations, her warmth. I admire her ability to combine academic erudition with serious political engagement and a great sense of humour.

The Utrecht postgraduate seminar in Women's Studies proved to be an inspiring meeting point. I thoroughly enjoyed our lively discussions and the atmosphere of mutual support and interest in each other's work. I learned a lot from comments on separate chapters by Denise de Costa, Cris van der Hoek, Geertje Mak, Jann Ruyters and Anneke Smelik. The monthly *intellectueel atelier* of the Department of Women's Studies was another guarantee for intense explorations of topical issues within feminist theory.

I am lucky to have a number of friends who are colleagues, or vice versa. They were willing to listen to vague ideas, read preliminary texts and counter ever so many doubts. I thank Pieter Pekelharing and Charis Cussins for asking the right questions at the right time, and Mieke Aerts and Sawitri Saharso for a good talk on 'inappropriate/d others'. I am especially grateful for the attentive readership and useful comments given on separate chapters by Rosemarie Buikema, Geoff Cooper, Emilie Gomart, Bruno Latour, Annemarie Mol, Trees Pels, Frans van Peperstraten and Evelien Tonkens.

I could not have done without the help of several experts in documentation, such as Roelof Jansma of the Documentation Centre of the Library of the University of Amsterdam, and Gijs von der Fuhr of the Amsterdam Centrum Buitenlanders. I also thank employees of the archives of the Anne Frank Stichting and the IIAV (International Information centre and Archives for the Women's movement) in Amsterdam for their help, and Herman Vuysje and Philomena Essed for generously providing me with information from their personal archives.

Dick Pels has followed the process of writing the dissertation in its most intimate details, endured my uncertainties and my growing engrossment in the project. I cherish our talks at his kitchen table, our part-time family life with Zita, and learned to appreciate the mixed blessings of living on a ship in Amsterdam. Our emotional and intellectual partnership has been a true enrichment.

Baukje Prins	The standpoint in question	1997
	CONTENTS	
PREFACE		
Introduction		1
Part I: SITUATED KNOWLE	EDGES	
Chapter 1. <b>Epistemology unde</b> <b>constructivist approa</b> 1. Edification: R 2. Genealogy: M 3. Anthropology 4. The problem of	c <b>hes of knowledge</b> ichard Rorty ichel Foucault : Bruno Latour	5
2.1 Dyna 2.2 A wa 2.3 The j 2.4.The i 3. What can she 3.1 Posi 3.2 Narr 3.3 Let's 3.4 Expe	es (1) rogatives vience: Evelyn Fox Keller amic autonomy/dynamic objectivity oman of genius female sex: mystery or feminist prerogative? recalcitrance of 'nature' know: Lorraine Code tionality	35
<ul> <li>3. Strong objective</li> <li>4. Outsiders with</li> <li>5. The modernistic</li> <li>5.1 Innov</li> <li>5.2 Identification</li> <li>5.3 Circle</li> </ul>	es (2) standpoints ience' for us!: Sandra Harding (1) vity: Sandra Harding (2) iin: Harding and Collins legacy cence tities	62

Chapter 4	Situated knowledges:	
-		87
Tem	inist constructivism according to Donna Haraway	07
	1. Objectivity revisited	
	1.1 <i>Objects as actors</i> 1.2 <i>Embodied subjects</i>	
	1.3 Non-innocence	
	1.4 Beyond identity	
	2. Hybridity	
	2.1 Cyborgs and other tricksters	
	2.2 Heteroglossia and ecstatic speakers	
	2.3 The ethics of hybrid subjects	
	3. Feminism and constructivism	
	4. Situated knowledges	
	4.1 A multi-layered concept	
	4.2 Displacements	
Part II: THE	E DUTCH MINORITIES DISCOURSE	
Introduction		111
Chapter 5. I	New realism: 'I take them seriously, so I quarrel'	118
	1. Murdered innocence	
	2. Guts versus care	
	3. The ordinary people	
	4. Identity and difference	
	5. The power of representation	
	6. Gender and sexuality	
	7. Feminist chivalry	
	8. 'White in the Bijlmer'	
	9. Ventriloquism	
	10. Multicultural feminism	
	11. Whose realism, whose reality?	

The standpoint in question

1997

Baukje Prins

Baukje Prins	The standpoint in question	1997
Chapter 6. Understanding e	vervdav racism:	
a case of opposition	144	
1. The other ta		1
	eryday racism	
	nixed reception	
	ti-racism and feminism	
2. Oppositiona	-	
	e standpoint of black women	
2.2 <i>Re</i>	1 0	
2.3 <i>Re</i>	presentation	
2.4 Objectivity		
3. Innocence n		
3.1 <i>Bl</i>	ack and white	
3.2 In	volved and resisting	
	enunciation and empowerment	
3.4 <i>Le</i>	t's turn to stories	
Chapter 7. Denunciation, er	npowerment, emancipation:	
different stories to t		172
1. The genre o	f denunciation	
÷	ent suffering	
	omen as guests	
	e netherside	
2. The genre o	fempowerment	
2.1 <i>Pi</i>	oneers	
2.2 Re	presentatives	
2.3 Vi	sibility	
3. The genre o	f emancipation	
3.1 <i>M</i>	aking the object speak	
3.2 Aı	thorship	
	3.2.1 A present absence	
	3.2.2 An absent presence	
4. Different sto	pries	

Baukje Prins	The standpoint in question	1997
2.2 A of 3. Inter-ethnic 3.1 Yo 3.2 A of 3.3 So 3.4 Ev 4. Shining in th	s In & black <i>ilful arrangements</i> <i>colourful palette</i> friendships <i>ung together</i> <i>lot to learn</i> <i>me and all</i> <i>eryday knowledges</i>	198
Part III: CONCLUSION		
Chapter 9. <b>The standpoint i</b> 1. Constructivi 2. Cases of rea 3. A narrative 4. Style matter	sm and feminism lism turn	223
Bibliography		237
Samenvatting in het Neder	lands	263